

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
<del>00/918,119 08/27/9</del>	<del>7 AHN</del>		В	39611-2
GRAHAM & JAMES 885 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10022	MM11/0820	一	EXAMINER NGO, N	
			ART UNIT 2814	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	08/20/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	The state of the s					
Office Action Summary	Application No.  OX   918116   Applicant(s)  Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address					
Period for Response						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TTO EXPIRE HATCOM MONTH(S) FROM THE					
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa	136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status/						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{y-10}{}$	~ 9 Y					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) 1 ho 26	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s) $q$ to $\zeta$ $\xi$	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) 8	is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)						
• •	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers	requirement.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)					
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	··					
Office Action Summary						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial Number: 08/918,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

The response filed August 10, 1998 has been entered and made of record as paper no. 6.

Applicant's election of claims 1-8 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wei et al..

Wei discloses in figures 15 a thin-film transistor comprising a substrate(12), a gate having a first metal layer(14) and a second metal layer(16), a first insulating layer(28), a semiconductor layer(30), an ohmic contact layer(32), a source and drain electrodes(36), and a second insulating layer(48). Wei teaches on lines 8 of column 9 "a fraction of a micron to several microns".

Therefore, it would have been obvious that 1 to 4 microns claimed by Applicants are within the range taught by Wei.

Claims 3, 4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wei et al as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 above, and further in view of Miyago et al(cited by Applicants).

Serial Number: 08/918,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

Miyago teaches the gate including a first layer formed of Al and a second layer formed of Mo. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Wei and Miyago to form the claimed device.

The other references are cited to show other structures pertinent to Applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (703) 308-4938. The fax phone number for the Art unit is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ym y nh

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo

August 14, 1998